

Knowledge levels and sociodemographic influences on dementia awareness in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia

Feras A Al-Awad ^{1*}, Assim AlAbdulkader ², Malak Al Shammari ², Shaimaa Nabil Rohaiem ³, Khalid AlHarkan ², Ahmed Shawky Mohammedin ⁴, Mohammed A AlShurem ⁵, Dalal M AlBakr ¹, Fatimah AlKhunaizi ¹, Mohammed Almaslami ¹, Ahmed AlNajidi ¹

¹ Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, SAUDI ARABIA

² Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, SAUDI ARABIA

³ Department of Geriatrics and Gerontology, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, SAUDI ARABIA

⁵ Department of Neurology, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, SAUDI ARABIA

*Corresponding Author: falawad@iau.edu.sa

Citation: Al-Awad FA, AlAbdulkader A, Shammari MA, Rohaiem SN, AlHarkan K, Mohammedin AS, AlShurem MA, AlBakr DM, AlKhunaizi F, Almaslami M, AlNajidi A. Knowledge levels and sociodemographic influences on dementia awareness in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. *Electron J Gen Med.* 2024;21(1):em567. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/14159>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 11 Jul. 2023

Accepted: 16 Dec. 2023

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to examine the level of knowledge about dementia among the public and explore differences in knowledge between sociodemographic groups.

Materials & methods: This cross-sectional questionnaire-based study surveyed individuals living in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Dementia knowledge assessment scale (DKAS) was used to measure knowledge about dementia. Multiple regression was used to identify the significant predictors of higher levels of knowledge about dementia.

Results: Among 1,030 participants, the mean DKAS score was 20.5 (standard deviation=10.3) out of 50. A higher level knowledge about dementia was significantly and positively associated with university education ($\beta=2.42$; $p=0.010$) and income higher ($\beta=2.78$; $p=0.001$). On the other hand, the total DKAS score was significantly and negatively associated with being male ($\beta=-1.78$; $p=0.003$), not knowing a person with dementia (PwD) ($\beta=-.56$; $p<0.001$), and not living with a PwD ($\beta=-1.57$; $p=0.120$).

Conclusions: The level of knowledge about dementia is low among people living in Saudi Arabia's Eastern Province. Enhancing the community's knowledge and awareness about dementia and its related topics should be considered at the regional or national levels.

Keywords: dementia, knowledge, literacy, misconceptions, population

INTRODUCTION

Dementia is one of the most common causes of disability among older adults. This disease can cause significant economic, social, medical, and psychological burdens on affected individuals. In 2020, approximately 55 million people were suffering from dementia globally. By 2050, the number is expected to rise to 139 million [1].

Greater awareness of dementia symptoms will likely prompt people to utilize counseling and health care services in a timely manner. Numerous studies have shown that people who better understand the disease are more likely to detect it and seek treatment early [2, 3]. We expect that families and caregivers who care for a person with dementia (PwD) will cope more effectively with the illness if they gain more knowledge about dementia.

A key element of most national strategies related to dementia is increasing public awareness of the disease, which has been identified as a crucial area of public health policy [4].

Raising public awareness of dementia is essential for enhancing care and improving the quality of life of PwD and their caregivers. A more knowledgeable public is more likely to question misconceptions about dementia, recognize early dementia symptoms, and seek early interventions [5].

There are several misconceptions about dementia, most of which stem from insufficient knowledge about the disease. Some of these misconceptions are similar across various countries, such as the idea that dementia is a normal part of aging [6]. If dementia symptoms are viewed as a normal part of aging, an older adult or a family member is unlikely to seek treatment. Accurate information is crucial since family and friends are usually the first to notice cognitive and behavioral changes [7]. Knowledge and awareness of dementia are essential across all age groups, not just older persons at a higher risk of developing the disease. However, few data are available to compare how well people in different sociodemographic categories understand dementia [8].

In Saudi Arabia, research on dementia and Alzheimer's disease is scarce. These were carried out in the provinces of

Jazan [9], Medina [10], Jeddah [11], the Aseer Region [12], and Mecca [13]. The findings of those papers revealed significant gaps in knowledge in several areas, including etiology, caregiving, and management. Across all studies, knowledge of dementia risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes were also lacking. One common misconception is that dementia is a normal part of ageing. In those studies, various scales were used. The majority of these studies found that higher knowledge was associated with a higher educational level, female gender, and having a relative affected with the disease. Furthermore, studies in the Middle East region are extremely limited, with only one or two studies conducted in each country. According to what we know, these countries include Qatar [14], Jordan [15], Lebanon [16], Iran [17], and India [18]. Poor knowledge and misconceptions were also prevalent.

Several scales have been designed to assess knowledge about dementia, and some of them have demonstrated adequate reliability and validity [19]. Dementia knowledge assessment scale (DKAS) is a recent scale that has been proven to be a reliable and valid measure of knowledge about dementia [20]. It is considered a psychometrically sound tool that overcomes the limitations of existing instruments, and it can be administered to various populations to measure their knowledge about dementia [21].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no published papers assessing dementia knowledge in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. The current study aims to examine and quantify knowledge about dementia in a community sample. This cross-sectional study was carried out in Saudi Arabia's Eastern province, which accounts for 15.9% of the total Saudi population. Given that previous research found differences in knowledge across sociodemographic factors, we investigated whether age, gender, income, education, and exposure to dementia patients influenced the level of knowledge about dementia.

MATERIALS & METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study conducted in Saudi Arabia's Eastern Province. We collected data using a secure online survey platform (QuestionPro) between February and May 2023. The survey was self-administered and presented in the Arabic language, and the information was collected anonymously. All procedures were performed in accordance with ethical standards and regulations. The study employed a convenience sampling method, whereby participants were selected based on their availability and willingness to participate. A total of eight medical students participated in data collection. Each medical student was assigned to cover certain geographical area in Saudi Arabia Eastern province. Recruitment efforts involved reaching out to individuals through various channels, such as social media platforms (Instagram, Twitter, & WhatsApp), hospital waiting area, malls, and mosques. Participants who agreed on participation were provided with either a QR code to scan or sending the survey link to their social media account.

The survey took approximately 10-15 minutes to complete. Men and women aged 18 years or older who were literate in Arabic and willing to participate were eligible for this study. According to the General Authority for Statistics in Saudi Arabia, the population of the Eastern Province is estimated to be five million. We used the online software Raosoft (Raosoft

Inc., Gary Trujillo, WA, USA) to calculate the sample size. The minimum required sample size to reach a confidence interval of 97% was 471. At the end of the data collection period, we received 1,030 responses; all responses were included in the analysis.

The first section of the survey consisted of sociodemographic questions such as gender, age, education level, socioeconomic status, and contact with a person living with dementia. DKAS was used in the second part of the survey. DKAS scale [22], which originally consisted of 27 items and was eventually reduced to 25 [20]. DKAS has been used in the past to evaluate dementia literacy in many populations, including health care providers [23, 24] and family caregivers [25] and members of the general population [6, 26, 27]. DKAS included development and design, pretesting, pilot testing, psychometric evaluation, and principal component analysis [22].

In this research, we utilized the 25-item DKAS scale [20]. Each item consists of a statement or information about dementia that could be either correct or incorrect. Therefore, the respondent needs to identify whether the information is accurate or not. Questions are answered using a modified 5-point Likert scale: true, probably true, probably false, false, or do not know. Subscales of DKAS include the following:

- a. causes and characteristics (seven items),
- b. communication and behavior (six items),
- c. care considerations (six items), and
- d. risk factors and health promotion (six questions).

Each item has a maximum score of two, with zero being the lowest possible score. The maximum possible DKAS score is 50 points. A higher score corresponds to a better knowledge of dementia.

We translated DKAS scale into Arabic using the guidelines recommended and tested its validity [28]. Two Arabic-speaking bilingual and bicultural translators translated DKAS into Arabic first. Both translators knew health terminology, instrument construct content, and cultural and linguistic idiosyncrasies. They translated the questionnaire items, instructions, and responses. A committee synthesized one Arabic version from the two translated versions (synthesis I). Two multilingual and bicultural translators back-translated the synthesized Arabic questionnaire to English. After resolving ambiguities and contradictions, a committee evaluated all questionnaire versions and agreed on the prefinal version (synthesis II). We piloted the prefinal version on twenty participants who were not part of our present study. After reviewing the results and resolving issues, the Arabic DKAS scale was finalized. The authors of this study only translated the questionnaire using the aforementioned translation process and conducting reliability analysis via SPSS to compare Cronbach's alpha values of the original and translated versions. The psychometric properties of the Arabic translated DKAS were not performed. The translated Arabic scale exhibited good reliability ($\alpha=0.84$, overall scale); Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the original English scale was 0.85.

We conducted all data analyses using SPSS version 29 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US). Descriptive and inferential statistics were used. The items on DKAS were summed to calculate the composite score of each subscale of knowledge about dementia. Multiple regression analysis was performed to determine the significant predictors of higher knowledge

about dementia. All sociodemographic variables were entered into a linear regression model to control for multicollinearity. The assumptions of linear regression (non-collinearity, linearity, homoscedasticity, normality and independence) were confirmed for all models prior to the execution of the test. Sociodemographic variables were considered independent variables to predict the level of knowledge about dementia. DKAS total score was considered dependent variable. Due to the nature of these variables, a dummy coding procedure was used to assess the effect of each level of each categorical variable in the model. We had no missing data because the electronic survey did not allow participants to submit their responses unless all the survey's questions were answered.

RESULTS

1,030 participants responded to the questionnaire. Of them, 48.4% were men, 45.3% were middle-aged adults (41-60 years old), 59.0% had a bachelor's degree or diploma, and 73.8% were married. Furthermore, 10.8% of the participants were health care workers. Nearly one-third of the participants (27.6%) reported living with a PwD, while 67.8% reported that they had known a PwD. **Table 1** illustrates the sociodemographic characteristics of our sample.

The mean DKAS score was 20.5 (standard deviation [SD]=10.3) out of 50, with higher scores indicating a higher level of knowledge about dementia. DKAS score at the 60th percentile (i.e., a score of 30) was chosen to correspond to sufficient knowledge about dementia. The literature has utilized similar procedures to identify cutoff scores [8, 26]. **Table 2** shows the mean and SD for each DKAS item. Each item has a maximum score of two, with zero being the lowest possible score. Participants scored lowest in questions related to risk factors of dementia (Q20-Q25) and communication with PwD (Q6-Q10). Most of respondents were not aware of importance of environment changes on the behavior of PwD (mean 0.42 ± 0.81), and large proportion felt communication will be impossible in advanced dementia (mean 0.75 ± 0.97). Majority of respondents were unaware that high blood

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of participants' sociodemographic variables

Character	N (%)
Age Group (years)	
18 to 25	150 (14.6%)
26-40	222 (21.6%)
41-60	467 (45.3%)
Above 60	155 (15.0%)
Gender	
Male	499 (48.4%)
Female	527 (51.2%)
Level of Education	
Less than high school	73 (7.1%)
High school	240 (23.3%)
Bachelor or diploma	608 (59.0%)
Master or PhD	100 (9.7%)
Medical occupation	
Yes	112 (10.9%)
No	915 (88.8%)
Marital status	
Single	191 (18.5%)
Married	760 (73.8%)
Divorced/separated	38 (3.7%)
Widow	26 (2.5%)
Monthly income (Saudi Riyals)	
No income	128 (12.4%)
Less than 5000	114 (11.1%)
5000 to 10,000	243 (23.6%)
10,000 to 20,000	328 (31.8%)
More than 20,000	213 (20.7%)
Residence geographical area	
Khobar	185 (18.0%)
Dammam	260 (25.2%)
Qatif	213 (20.7%)
Ahsa	266 (25.8%)
Jubail	71 (6.9%)
Other	31 (3.0%)
Living with a dementia patient	
Yes	280 (27.2%)
No	747 (72.5%)
Knowing a relative with dementia	
Yes	693 (67.3%)
No	334 (32.4%)

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation calculated for each DKAS item

Statement	Mean	SD
1. Most forms of dementia do not generally shorten a person's life	0.24	0.43
2. Blood vessel disease (vascular dementia) is the most common form of dementia	0.17	0.56
3. People can recover from the most common forms of dementia	1.02	1.00
4. Dementia is a normal part of the ageing process	0.82	0.98
5. Dementia does not result from physical changes in the brain	0.89	0.99
6. Planning for end-of-life care is generally not necessary following a diagnosis of dementia	1.03	1.00
7. Alzheimer's disease is the most common form of dementia	1.20	0.80
8. It is impossible to communicate with a person who has advanced dementia	0.75	0.97
9. A person experiencing advanced dementia will not generally respond to changes in their physical environment	0.42	0.81
10. It is important to correct a person with dementia when they are confused	0.71	0.96
11. People experiencing advanced dementia often communicate through body language	0.63	0.81
12. Uncharacteristic behaviors in a person experiencing dementia are generally a response to unmet needs	0.61	0.76
13. Medications are the most effective way of treating behavioral symptoms of dementia	0.43	0.82
14. People experiencing dementia do not generally have problems making decisions	1.36	0.93
15. Movement is generally affected in the later stages of dementia	0.95	0.84
16. Difficulty eating and drinking generally occurs in the later stages of dementia	1.03	0.84
17. People with advanced dementia may have difficulty speaking	1.06	0.84
18. People experiencing dementia often have difficulty learning new skills	1.32	0.78
19. Daily care for a person with advanced dementia is effective when it focuses on providing comfort	1.26	0.79

Table 2 (continued). Mean and standard deviation calculated for each DKAS item

Statement	Mean	SD
20. Having high blood pressure increases a person's risk of developing dementia	0.45	0.75
21. Maintaining a healthy lifestyle does not reduce the risk of developing the most common forms of dementia	0.99	1.00
22. Symptoms of depression can be mistaken for symptoms of dementia	0.72	0.83
23. The sudden onset of cognitive problems is characteristic of common forms of dementia	0.18	0.58
24. Exercise is generally beneficial for people experiencing dementia	1.17	0.81
25. Early diagnosis of dementia does not generally improve the quality of life for people experiencing the condition	1.16	0.99
Total	20.57	10.3

Table 3. Mean and SD for each subscale

Subscale	Mean	SD
Causes and characteristics (Range = 0–14)	5.38	3.05
Communication & behavior (Range = 0–12)	3.56	2.58
Care considerations (Range = 0–12)	6.99	3.24
Risks & health promotion (Range = 0–12)	4.67	2.70

pressure increases a person's risk of dementia (mean 0.45±0.75). In the study sample, misconceptions about dementia were prevalent. 75.0% (n=803) of the sample was unaware that most forms of dementia generally shorten the patient's life. Furthermore, 58.8% (n=621) believed that dementia is a normal part of aging. Seventy-five percent of the study participants knew Alzheimer's disease was the most common form of dementia.

Table 3 shows the mean and SD of each subscale. The mean score for the "communication and behavior" subscale (mean=3.56; SD=2.58; range=0-12) was the lowest, and the mean score for the "care and considerations" subscale (mean=6.99; SD=3.24; range=0-12) was the highest. The mean for the "risks and health promotion" subscale was 4.67 (SD=2.7; range=0-12), while the mean score of the "causes and characteristics" subscale was 5.38 (SD=3.05; range=0-14). The significant variation in subscale scoring.

Table 4 shows the results of multiple regression analysis to determine the significant predictors of higher knowledge about dementia. Coefficients were assessed to ascertain the influence of each of the factors on the total DKAS score. The results revealed that a higher level of knowledge about dementia was significantly and positively associated with university education ($\beta=2.42$; $p=0.010$) and income higher than 20,000 Saudi Riyals (SR) ($\beta=2.78$; $p=0.001$). On the other hand, the total DKAS score was significantly and negatively associated with being male ($\beta=-1.78$; $p=0.003$), not knowing a PwD ($\beta=-3.56$; $p<0.001$), and not living with a PwD ($\beta=-1.57$; $p=0.012$). As a result, individuals in lower socioeconomic subgroups had less dementia knowledge. Furthermore, exposure to a relative with dementia is a strong predictor of higher dementia knowledge, as participants who did not live with or know a PwD scored significantly lower on the instrument.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the Saudi population in the Eastern province has limited knowledge about dementia. Many participants (58.8%), for example, believed that dementia is a normal part of ageing. This figure is higher than in previous

Table 4. Multiple regression analysis of predictors of dementia knowledge levels

Predictor	B	SE(B)	β	t	Sig. (P)
Gender					
Male	-1.781	.601	-.103	-2.965	.003**
Age group					
18 to 25 years	1.513	1.138	.062	1.330	.184
26-40 years	.828	.708	.040	1.168	.243
Above 60	-.907	.794	-.037	-1.142	.254
Education					
No education	4.471	3.712	.040	1.205	.229
Less than high school	-1.480	1.131	-.044	-1.308	.191
High school	-1.315	.654	-.064	-2.010	.045*
Master or PhD degree	2.426	.969	.084	2.505	.012*
Working in medical field					
Yes	3.457	.864	-.125	-4.001	.000***
Marital status					
Single	1.234	1.017	.056	1.214	.225
Divorced/separated	1.450	1.416	.031	1.024	.306
Widow	-.939	1.690	-.017	-.555	.579
Income					
Less than 5000 SR	.538	.963	.020	.559	.576
10,000 to 20,000 SR	1.851	.710	.100	2.607	.009**
More than 20,000 SR	2.791	.837	.131	3.334	.001***
Living with dementia patient					
No	-1.578	.627	-.081	-2.514	.012*
Knowing a person with dementia					
No	-3.563	.603	-.193	-5.911	.000***

***P < .001; **P < .01; *P < .05.

international surveys conducted outside of the Middle East in which nearly half of the participants (median 48.0%, range 39.0%-75.0%, $n=12,026$) believed dementia was a normal part of ageing [29]. In comparison to other Saudi Arabian geographical areas, the misconception that dementia is a normal aspect of ageing was found to be 44.9% in Jeddah, 86.2% in Medina, and 60.0% in the Aseer region [10-12]. Although this misconception is common across countries [30], its widespread prevalence is concerning because PwD or their families may miss out on the benefits of early intervention. Viewing memory impairment as normal in older people may delay the early detection of Alzheimer's disease and other forms of dementia [31].

We also found that 50.6% of our sample mistakenly believed that a healthy lifestyle was not associated with a decreased risk of developing dementia. This proportion is significantly higher than the 32.4% found in a recent Dutch general population study [6]. In the Middle East, a study with 925 participants in Jazan province, Saudi Arabia, revealed that only 18.0% were aware that high cholesterol raises the risk and 22.4% were aware that high blood pressure raises the risk. Other Saudi Arabian regions (Medina, Aseer, and Mecca) were also found to have an inadequate level of understanding of risk factors [10, 12, 13]. This is also supported by research from other Middle Eastern countries [15, 16]. For example, in a study of 346 Jordanians using the Alzheimer's disease knowledge scale, participants performed the worst in the component related knowledge of risk factors (42.6% correct answer) [15]. It was discovered that 12 modifiable risk factors (i.e., education, hypertension, hearing loss, obesity, depression, smoking, physical inactivity, poor social contact, diabetes, excessive alcohol use, air pollution, and traumatic brain injury) may contribute to the global incidence of dementia [32]. Despite the considerable potential for prevention, past research has revealed that most people are unaware of these risk factors that can lower their risk of dementia [29, 30, 33, 34]. Inadequate knowledge of modifiable risk factors may preclude people from engaging in preventive health behaviors, thus increasing their risk of acquiring the disease.

The mean score for the "communication and behavior" subscale was lower (mean=3.56; SD=2.58; range=0-12) than that of other subscales. Given that dementia affects language, the questions in this subscale focused on communication considerations when dealing with a PwD and the practices to manage such a communication barrier. In a survey conducted in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, nearly half (47.1%) of the 1698 respondents reported being unprepared to interact with someone who has Alzheimer's disease. Research in other Middle Eastern nations has indicated similarly low levels of knowledge regarding caregiving communication skills [16]. An increasing amount of research indicates that communication challenges between PwD and their caregivers significantly influence their quality of life, care, and dyadic relationships [35]. Health care workers in Saudi Arabia who deal with caregivers of PwD should be aware that caregivers may need training and guidance to meet the specific communication needs of PwD. It has been proven that training in communication skills improves care outcomes and the quality of life of both PwD and their caregivers [36].

Our study, the low dementia knowledge among our participants could have resulted from several causes. First, while the elderly population is expected to grow [37], residents of the community may not have had a lot of interaction with

PwD because only 4.4% of the population is over the age of 60, while 70.0% of the population is under the age of 30 [38]. Therefore, it's possible that families did not actively seek information from organizations like of the Alzheimer's Association or healthcare professionals, via the internet, and social media, etc. Second, individuals with cognitive impairment may avoid or not seek learning about dementia due to the social stigma associated with this condition [39]. Unfortunately, no consensus among research studies regarding how best to evaluate stigma associated with dementia [40]. Third, low dementia knowledge among elderly in Saudi Arabia might be related to health literacy given that many Saudi adults over 65 have only completed elementary school [41]. Fourth, while doctors and healthcare professionals have significant potential as public health educators [42], there are a number of factors that may influence this process in Saudi Arabia, particularly in the area of dementia knowledge. One of the factors is the scarcity of geriatric specialists and the limited dementia training available to trainees [43]. This may also result in underdeveloped geriatric services such as nursing homes and home health care [44]. Fifth, In the Middle Eastern world, caring for the elderly is considered a sacred and familial obligation [45]. Because of this, it is considered a betrayal of family and religious obligations to place an elderly PwD in a nursing home or long-term care facility [46]. The problem with this view is that it leads to a lack of policies, programs, and services for older people living with dementia and their families [47], as it assumes families can care for their loved ones with dementia without government assistance and support.

The results of our study indicate that levels of knowledge about dementia varied across socioeconomic groups. Similar to other studies [48-51], we found that people with higher income had a higher level of knowledge about dementia than those with lower income ($p<0.050$) in all DKAS subscales. Additionally, we found that people with higher education, particularly those who attained a postgraduate degree ($\beta=2.42$, $p=0.012$), had a higher level of knowledge of dementia. This observation aligns with previous studies investigating the public knowledge of dementia [6, 8, 52-54]. Socioeconomic factors strongly predict health results, as shown many times in the scientific literature [55]. Socioeconomically poor people are more likely to have a worse risk profile and to use the health care system less frequently for preventative interventions [56]. This implies targeting them with more focused health education programs.

The current study found that women had more knowledge about dementia than men, consistent with earlier research [8, 51, 57]. This could be because women are more likely than men to actively seek health-related information [58]. Women in Saudi culture are more involved in caregiving than men [59, 60], thus giving more consideration to health problems, such as dementia. We also observed that living with or knowing a PwD was associated with a higher level of knowledge about dementia, consistent with similar studies in different nations [26, 50, 61]. This is likely because individuals are more interested in learning about diseases when they perceive a personal connection.

It is vital to determine the predictors of knowledge about dementia and tailor educational programs that fit the needs of a population. Our study showed that predictors of poor knowledge of dementia are male gender, lower income, lower education, and not knowing or caring for a PwD.

World Health Organization's global action plan on dementia encourages all countries to conduct dementia awareness initiatives [62]. This emphasis reflects that population risk reduction and appropriate dementia treatment depend on the general public's awareness of these factors. Hope for possible treatment may motivate early detection and diagnosis, allowing for future planning and easier access to supportive resources, which has been shown to reduce psychological suffering [63].

Our study is one of very few studies that investigated knowledge about dementia in the general population in Saudi Arabia. Most of the previously published papers examined knowledge among students or health care professionals. Furthermore, this study is the first to translate and internally validate the Arabic version of DKAS. Our study had certain limitations that may influence the results. Although the study sample was large, it does not represent the Saudi population. Our recruitment strategy might have led to selection bias because of the conventional approach. As we collected data online, adults with higher education and higher digital literacy may be overrepresented. Furthermore, most individuals who participated in this study were from large cities in Saudi Arabia's Eastern Province. Thus, the level of knowledge about dementia might be different in rural areas. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, our sample comprised fewer adults above 60 years (15.0%). Additionally, 50.0% of our sample was middle and upper class (income >10,000 SR). Considering that the predominant group was a group of highly educated (bachelor or diploma, 59.0%) and young people (≤60 years, 85.0%), the actual awareness rate of the public could be even lower.

CONCLUSIONS

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess dementia knowledge in Saudi Arabia's eastern province. Participants in our study had limited knowledge of dementia in general, especially its risk factors and caregiving skills. To address knowledge gaps, educational interventions are required. Future research focusing on knowledge exploration in the elderly will be beneficial in generalizing the findings of our study. Lower socioeconomic subgroups should be prioritized in these efforts due to their lower level of knowledge. Additional research examining the effects of social, cultural, and stigmatization could help in overcoming barriers. Such interventions are likely to improve early detection of dementia and, if present, reduce stigma and negative attitudes. National health programs and policymaking are required to improve the health outcomes of PwD. It is strongly advised to evaluate the psychometric properties of our translated DKAS instrument and compare it to other similar instruments. We also recommend conducting the study on a larger population, using a systematic randomized sampling procedure, using paper pencil surveying for those with low digital literacy, and involving all age groups equally in order to generalize the findings of our study.

Author contributions: FAA, AA, MAS, SNR, KA, ASM, MAA, & DMA: conceptualization; FAA, AA, KA, MAA, & DMA: data curation; FAA & MAS: investigation; FAA: methodology, validation, & writing—original draft; SNR: software; & AA, MAS, SNR, KA, ASM, MAA, DMA, FA, MA, & AA: writing—review & editing. All authors have agreed with the results and conclusions.

Funding: No funding source is reported for this study.

Ethical statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University (IRB-UGS-2023-01-120, dated on 12 March 2023. All participants completed the consent form electronically before answering any questions.

Declaration of interest: No conflict of interest is declared by authors.

Data sharing statement: Data supporting the findings and conclusions are available upon request from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1. International Association for Social Development. Dementia statistics 2022. Available at: <https://www.alzint.org/about/dementia-facts-figures/dementia-statistics> (Accessed: 1 November 2023).
2. Werner P. Knowledge about symptoms of Alzheimer's disease: Correlates and relationship to help-seeking behavior. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2003;18(11):1029-36. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1011> PMID:14618555
3. Low LF, Anstey KJ. Dementia literacy: Recognition and beliefs on dementia of the Australian public. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2009;5(1):43-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2008.03.011> PMID:19118808
4. WHO. Dementia: A public health priority 2023. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/dementia-a-public-health-priority> (Accessed: 1 November 2023).
5. Rimmer E, Wojciechowska M, Stave C, Sganga A, O'Connell B. Implications of the facing dementia survey for the general population, patients and caregivers across Europe. *Int J Clin Pract Suppl*. 2005;(146):17-24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1368-504X.2005.00482.x> PMID:15801187
6. Vrijzen J, Matulešij TF, Joxhorst T, de Rooij SE, Smidt N. Knowledge, health beliefs and attitudes towards dementia and dementia risk reduction among the Dutch general population: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):857. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10913-7>
7. Fortinsky RH, Hathaway TJ. Information and service needs among active and former family caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease. *Gerontologist*. 1990;30(5):604-9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/30.5.604> PMID:2276632
8. Pacifico D, Fiordelli M, Fadda M, et al. Dementia is (not) a natural part of ageing: A cross-sectional study on dementia knowledge and misconceptions in Swiss and Italian young adults, adults, and older adults. *BMC Public Health*. 2022; 22(1):2176. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14578-8> PMID:36434540 PMCid:PMC9701025
9. Hakami F, Madkhali MA, Saleh E, et al. Awareness and perception toward Alzheimer's disease among residents living in the Jazan Province, Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. *Cureus*. 2023;15(9):e44505. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.44505>
10. Alluqman M, Zahid HM, Alhussaini AR, et al. Assess the public awareness, knowledge, and attitude towards Alzheimer's disease in Medina Saudi Arabia. *Res Sq*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2598765/v1>
11. Algahtani H, Shirah B, Alhazmi A, Alshareef A, Bajunaid M, Samman A. Perception and attitude of the general population towards Alzheimer's disease in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Acta Neurol Belg*. 2020;120(2):313-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13760-018-1040-7> PMID:30421351

12. Alhazzani AA, Alqahtani AM, Alqahtani MS, Alahmari TM, Zarbah AA. Public awareness, knowledge, and attitude toward Alzheimer's disease in Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia. *Egypt J Neurol Psychiatr Neurosurg.* 2020;56:81. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41983-020-00213-z>
13. Alhumaidi FS, Siddiqui MI, Altowairqi AF, Alshawkani HH, Babkour BO, Alaugla FK. Public knowledge about Alzheimer disease in Mecca Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. *Egypt J Neurol Psychiatr Neurosurg.* 2020;56:89. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41983-020-00220-0>
14. Paul P, Mahfoud ZR, Malik RA, et al. Knowledge, awareness, and attitude of healthcare stakeholders on Alzheimer's disease and dementia in Qatar. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2023;20(5):4535. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054535> PMID:36901551 PMCID:PMC10002196
15. Abdalrahim A, Alamoush KM, ALBashtawy M, et al. Jordanian community people's knowledge of and attitudes toward dementia. *SAGE Open Nurs.* 2023;9:23779608231201052. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608231201052> PMID:37705733 PMCID:PMC10496485
16. Elbejjani M, Wahab K, El Hachem R, Tanielian M, Feghali L, Assaf G. Knowledge and attitude towards Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in a low- to middle-income country: A cross-sectional survey among Lebanese middle-aged and older adults. *Psychogeriatrics.* 2021;21(5):699-708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyg.12722> PMID:34107555
17. Jafarjalal E, Haghani H, Carpenter B, Sajady M, Farahaninia M. Knowledge about Alzheimer's disease among individuals going to neighborhood houses in northern regions of Tehran, Iran. *Arvand J Health Med Sci.* 2017;2(2):59-69.
18. Purandare N, Luthra V, Swarbrick C, Burns A. Knowledge of dementia among South Asian (Indian) older people in Manchester, UK. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2007;22(8):777-81. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1740> PMID:17192964
19. Spector A, Orrell M, Schepers A, Shanahan N. A systematic review of 'knowledge of dementia' outcome measures. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2012;11(1):67-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2011.09.002> PMID:21983429
20. Annear MJ, Toye C, Elliott K-EJ, Mcinerney F, Eccleston C, Robinson A. Dementia knowledge assessment scale (DKAS): Confirmatory factor analysis and comparative subscale scores among an international cohort. *BMC Geriatr.* 2017;17(1):168. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-017-0552-y> PMID:28760154 PMCID:PMC5537989
21. Annear MJ, Eccleston CE, McInerney FJ, et al. A new standard in dementia knowledge measurement: Comparative validation of the dementia knowledge assessment scale and the Alzheimer's disease knowledge scale. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64(6):1329-34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14142> PMID:27321614
22. Annear MJ, Toye CM, Eccleston CE, et al. Dementia knowledge assessment scale: Development and preliminary psychometric properties. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2015;63(11):2375-81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13707> PMID:26503020
23. Lawler K, Kitsos A, Bindoff AD, Callisaya ML, Eccleston CEA, Doherty KV. Room for improvement: An online survey of allied health professionals' dementia knowledge. *Australas J Ageing.* 2021;40(2):195-201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12886> PMID:33295077
24. Annear MJ. Knowledge of dementia among the Australian Health Workforce: A national online survey. *J Appl Gerontol.* 2020;39(1):62-73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464817752085> PMID:29313420
25. Tan GTH, Yuan Q, Devi F, et al. Dementia knowledge and its demographic correlates amongst informal dementia caregivers in Singapore. *Aging Ment Health.* 2021;25(5):864-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2020.1740914> PMID:32228179
26. Eccleston CE, Courtney-Pratt H, Mcinerney F, Johnstone A, Doherty K. Predictors of dementia knowledge in a rural general public sample. *Aust J Rural Health.* 2021;29(4):530-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajr.12777> PMID:34351673
27. Van Patten R, Tremont G. Public knowledge of late-life cognitive decline and dementia in an international sample. *Dementia (London).* 2020;19(6):1758-76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301218805923> PMID:30309254
28. Sousa VD, Rojjanasrirat W. Translation, adaptation and validation of instruments or scales for use in cross-cultural health care research: A clear and user-friendly guideline. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2011;17(2):268-74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01434.x> PMID:20874835
29. Cations M, Radisic G, Crotty M, Laver KE. What does the general public understand about prevention and treatment of dementia? A systematic review of population-based surveys. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(4):e0196085. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196085> PMID:29672559 PMCID:PMC5908164
30. Cahill S, Pierce M, Werner P, Darley A, Bobersky A. A systematic review of the public's knowledge and understanding of Alzheimer's disease and dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 2015;29(3):255-75. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000102> PMID:26207322
31. Knopman D, Donohue JA, Guterman EM. Patterns of care in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease: Impediments to timely diagnosis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000;48(3):300-4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb02650.x> PMID:10733057
32. Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet.* 2017;390(10113):2673-734. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31363-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31363-6) PMID:28735855
33. Heger I, Deckers K, van Boxtel M, et al. Dementia awareness and risk perception in middle-aged and older individuals: Baseline results of the MijnBreincoach survey on the association between lifestyle and brain health. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):678. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7010-z> PMID:31159779 PMCID:PMC6545627
34. Parial LL, Lam SC, Ho JYS, Suen LKP, Leung AYM. Public knowledge of the influence of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors on dementia: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Aging Ment Health.* 2021;25(8):1395-409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2020.1786801> PMID:32633131
35. Moon H, Adams KB. The effectiveness of dyadic interventions for people with dementia and their caregivers. *Dementia (London).* 2013;12(6):821-39. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301212447026> PMID:24337642

36. Eggenberger E, Heimerl K, Bennett MI. Communication skills training in dementia care: A systematic review of effectiveness, training content, and didactic methods in different care settings. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2013;25(3):345-58. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610212001664> PMID: 23116547
37. Karlin NJ, Weil J, Felmban W. Aging in Saudi Arabia: An exploratory study of contemporary older persons' views about daily life, health, and the experience of aging. *Gerontol Geriatr Med*. 2016;2:2333721415623911. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2333721415623911> PMID: 28138483 PMCID:PMC5119868
38. Statistics GAF. Saudi census 2022. Available at: <https://portal.saudicensus.sa/portal> (Accessed: 1 November 2023).
39. Mackenzie J. Stigma and dementia: East European and South Asian family carers negotiating stigma in the UK. *Dementia*. 2006;5(2):233-47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301206062252>
40. Herrmann LK, Welter E, Leverenz J, et al. A systematic review of dementia-related stigma research: Can we move the stigma dial? *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2018;26(3):316-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2017.09.006> PMID:29426607
41. Khoja AT, Aljawadi MH, Al-Shammari SA, et al. The health of Saudi older adults; results from the Saudi national survey for elderly health (SNSEH) 2006-2015. *Saudi Pharm J*. 2018; 26(2):292-300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2017.11.008> PMID:30166931 PMCID:PMC611452
42. Fineberg HV, Green GM, Ware JH, Anderson BL. Changing public health training needs: Professional education and the paradigm of public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 1994;15(1):237-57. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pu.15.050194.001321> PMID:8054084
43. Yaghmour SM, Bartlett R, Brannelly T. Dementia in Eastern Mediterranean countries: A systematic review. *Dementia (London)*. 2019;18(7-8):2635-61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301217753776> PMID:29336168
44. Wang M, Xu X, Huang Y, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and skills of dementia care in general practice: A cross-sectional study in primary health settings in Beijing, China. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21(1):89. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-01164-3> PMID:32416731 PMCID:PMC7231407
45. Hammad SH, Daher-Nashif S, Kane T, Al-Wattary N. Sociocultural insights on dementia care-giving in Arab and Muslim communities: The perspectives of family caregivers. *Ageing Soc*. 2022;1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X22000277>
46. Shubair SA. Dementia care in gerontological social work: Emerging issues and challenges in Saudi Arabia. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11:1167856. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1167856> PMID:37614449 PMCID:PMC10442813
47. Abyad A, Hammami SO. Geriatric medicine in the Arab world. In: Laher I, editor. *Handbook of healthcare in the Arab world*. Berlin: Springer; 2021. p. 2149-76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36811-1_86
48. Lüdecke D, von dem Knesebeck O, Kofahl C. Public knowledge about dementia in Germany—Results of a population survey. *Int J Public Health*. 2016;61(1):9-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-015-0703-x> PMID:26149831
49. Joo SH, Jo IS, Kim HJ, Lee CU. Factors associated with dementia knowledge and dementia worry in the South Korean elderly population. *Psychiatry Investig*. 2021;18(12):1198-204. <https://doi.org/10.30773/pi.2021.0295> PMID:34965705 PMCID:PMC8721298
50. Liu D, Cheng G, An L, et al. Public knowledge about dementia in China: A national WeChat-based survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(21):4231. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214231> PMID:31683665 PMCID:PMC6862030
51. Zhang H, Loi SM, Zhou S, et al. Dementia literacy among community-dwelling older adults in urban China: A cross-sectional study. *Front Public Health*. 2017;5:124. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00124> PMID:28638820 PMCID:PMC5461251
52. Seo HJ, Lee DY, Sung MR. Public knowledge about dementia in South Korea: A community-based cross-sectional survey. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2015;27(3):463-9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610214001896> PMID: 25196255
53. Sun F, Gao X, Shen H, Burnette D. Levels and correlates of knowledge about Alzheimer's disease among older Chinese Americans. *J Cross Cult Gerontol*. 2014;29(2):173-83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10823-014-9229-6> PMID: 24728621
54. Roberts JS, McLaughlin SJ, Connell CM. Public beliefs and knowledge about risk and protective factors for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2014;10(5 Suppl):S381-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.07.001> PMID:24630852 PMCID:PMC4163539
55. Adler NE, Newman K. Socioeconomic disparities in health: pathways and policies. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2002;21(2):60-76. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.21.2.60> PMID:11900187
56. Turrell G, Mathers CD. Socioeconomic status and health in Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2000;172(9):434-8. <https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2000.tb124041.x> PMID:10870537
57. Arai Y, Arai A, Zarit SH. What do we know about dementia?: a survey on knowledge about dementia in the general public of Japan. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008;23(4):433-8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1977> PMID:18265436
58. Ek S. Gender differences in health information behaviour: A Finnish population-based survey. *Health Promot Int*. 2015;30(3):736-45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dat063> PMID:23985248
59. Alshammari B, Noble H, McAneney H, Alshammari F, O'Halloran P. Caregiver burden in informal caregivers of patients in Saudi Arabia receiving hemodialysis: A mixed-methods study. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023;11(3):366. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11030366> PMID: 36766941 PMCID:PMC9914672
60. Alfakhri AS, Alshudukhi AW, Alqahtani AA, et al. Depression among caregivers of patients with dementia. *Inquiry*. 2018;55:46958017750432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958017750432> PMID:29345180 PMCID:PMC5798670
61. Shin JH, Seo HJ, Kim KH, Kim KH, Lee Y. Knowledge about dementia in South Korean nursing students: A cross-sectional survey. *BMC Nurs*. 2015;14:67. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-015-0116-4> PMID:26635508 PMCID: PMC4668625
62. WHO. Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017-2025. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/global-action-plan-on-the-public-health-response-to-dementia-2017---2025> (Accessed: 1 November 2023).

-
63. Keyes SE, Clarke CL, Wilkinson H, et al. "We're all thrown in the same boat ... ": A qualitative analysis of peer support in dementia care. *Dementia (London)*. 2016;15(4):560-77. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301214529575> PMID: 24742876